North East Derbyshire District Council

Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee

18 May 2017

Internal Audit Consortium 2016/17 Annual Report to North East Derbyshire District Council

This report is public

Purpose of the Report

The purpose of this report is to:

- Present a summary of the internal work undertaken during 2016/17 from which the opinion on the internal control environment is derived
- Provide an opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the Council's control environment including any qualifications to that opinion
- Draw attention to any issues that need to be considered for inclusion in the Annual Governance Statement
- Compare work actually undertaken with that which was planned and summarise performance
- Comment on compliance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards
- Confirm progress against the Internal Audit action plan that was developed following an external review of internal audit
- Comment on the results of the internal quality assurance programme
- Confirm the organisational independence of internal audit
- Review the performance of the Internal Audit Consortium against the current Internal Audit Charter

1 Report Details

1.1 Appendix 1 details the audit reports issued in respect of audits included in the 2016/17 internal audit plan. The appendix shows for each report the overall opinion on the reliability of the internal controls. An additional column shows the opinion given at the last audit for information. The report opinions can be summarised as follows:

Control Level	Number of Reports	Percentage 2016/17	Percentage 2015/16
Good	18	67	52
Satisfactory	4	15	32
Marginal	5	18	12
Unsatisfactory	0	0	4
Unsound	0	0	0
Total	27	100	100.0

- 1.2 A definition of the above control levels is shown in Appendix 1.
- 1.3 There were no issues relating to fraud arising from the reports detailed in Appendix 1.
- 1.4 The following table summarises the performance indicators for the Internal Audit Consortium as detailed in the Internal Audit Service Plan:

Description	2010	2016/17		
	Plan	Actual	Plan	
Cost per Audit Day	£286	£256	£280	
		Note 1		
Percentage Plan Completed	96%	92%	96%	
(NEDDC)		Note 2		
Sickness Absence (Days per	8.0	2.4	8	
Employee)	(Corporate			
	Trigger)			
Customer Satisfaction Score	85%	91%	85%	
(NEDDC)				
To issue internal audit reports within	90%	100%	90%	
10 days of the close out meeting.				
(NEDDC)				
Number/proportion of audits	80%	80%	80%	
completed within time allocation				
(NEDDC)				
% 2016/17 Agreed	80%	76%	80%	
recommendations implemented by				
due date (NEDDC)				
Quarterly reporting to Audit	100%	100%	100%	
Committee				

Note 1 This is due mainly to the 0.5 vacant post within the Consortium structure not being filled and an underspend on the training budget. A decision has been taken not to fill the vacant post however the budget for this has now been used to fund a full time Senior Auditor post (as

opposed to 0.9 FTE of the retiring Senior Auditor) and also to pay a market supplement on this post which has enabled another qualified Officer to be appointed.

Note 2 In respect of the 2016/17 internal audit plan, 100% of the plan will be completed however the last 2 reports in respect of business continuity and sickness absence management are in the process of being finalised.

OPINION ON THE ADEQUACY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF THE CONTROL ENVIRONMENT

- 1.5 In respect of the main financial systems, Appendix 1 shows that in all cases the internal controls were found to be operating well, giving an overall confidence in the internal control system operating in relation to these systems.
- 1.6 Overall, 82% of the areas audited received a good or satisfactory opinion demonstrating that there are effective systems of governance, risk management and control in place. The table at 1.1 demonstrates that controls are on a par with the previous year. There were no areas that were judged to be unsatisfactory or unsound.
- 1.7 There were 5 marginal reports issued during the year (taxi licensing, procurement, use of social media, health and safety and transport), where only limited assurance on the reliability of internal controls can be given. Management have agreed the recommendations made and are actively working to implement them.
- 1.8 In addition to the above, Members will also be aware of high risk areas that are detailed on the Council's Strategic Risk Register i.e.:-
 - Changes in Legislation/impact of Brexit
 - Budget Challenges
 - Staff morale and recruitment difficulties
 - Delivery of major initiatives
 - Emergency Planning and Business Continuity Arrangements
 - Engaging with local communities and partners
 - Data protection failures
 - Governance arrangements

These risks are all managed corporately and controls put in place to mitigate risk where possible.

ISSUES FOR INCLUSION IN THE ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT

1.8 The internal control issues arising from audits completed in the year have been reported to the Director of Operations for consideration during the preparation of the Annual Governance Statement. There

have been no unsatisfactory or unsound reports issued in 2016/17. The internal control issues relating to the marginal reports issued have been included on the Annual Governance statement as issues identified.

COMPARISON OF PLANNED WORK TO ACTUAL WORK UNDERTAKEN

1.9 The Internal Audit Plan for 2016/17 was approved by the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee on the 21st April 2016. Overall 100% of planned audits will be completed (currently 92%) however the last two reports (sickness absence and business continuity) are in the process of being finalised and will be issued and reported on in the 2017/18 financial year.

COMPLIANCE WITH THE PUBLIC SECTOR INTERNAL AUDIT STANDARDS AND OTHER QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS

- 1.10 During 2016/17 a self assessment was undertaken to review compliance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards. The review confirmed that there were no significant areas of non compliance.
- 1.11 In October 2016 the internal audit consortium was subject to an external review to ensure compliance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards. The review concluded that the Consortium was compliant with and in places exceeded the requirements of the PSIAS but a number of recommendations were made to further enhance the service provided by the Consortium. The progress made against the action plan so far is as expected and detailed at Appendix 2.
- 1.12 It can also be confirmed that the internal audit activity is organisationally independent. Internal audit reports to the Director of Operations but has a direct and unrestricted access to senior management and the Audit Committee.
- 1.13 Quality control procedures have been established within the internal audit consortium as follows:
 - Individual Audit Reviews Working papers and reports are all subject to independent review to ensure that the audit tests undertaken are appropriate, evidenced and the correct conclusions drawn. All reports are reviewed to ensure that they are consistent with working papers and in layout. Whilst these reviews may identify issues for clarification, the overall conclusion of the quality assurance checks is that work is being completed and documented thoroughly.
 - Customer Satisfaction A Customer Satisfaction Survey form is issued with each report. This form seeks the views of the recipient on how the audit was conducted, the report and recommendations made.

- Client Officer Views A survey form has been issued to the client officer seeking his views on the overall performance of the Internal Audit Consortium for the year in achieving the objectives set out in the Internal Audit Charter.
- All staff have been provided with a copy of the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards and the Internal Audit manual has been updated to reflect the requirements of the standards and issued to all staff. A further review of the audit manual is scheduled for the summer of 2017.
- 1.14 The above quality control procedures have ensured conformance with the PSIAS.
- 1.15 Based on the customer satisfaction survey forms returned, the average score was 91% for customer satisfaction during 2016/17 (2015/16 result 92%).
- 1.16 The results of the Client Officer survey for Bolsover was a score of 91.4% (32 out of a maximum of 35 for the seven areas reviewed this represented 4 'very good' scores and 3 'good' score). The 2015/16 score was also 91.4%.

REVIEW OF PERFORMANCE OF THE INTERNAL AUDIT CONSORTIUM AGAINST THE CURRENT INTERNAL AUDIT CHARTER

- 1.17 The Audit Charter was last reported to and approved by the Audit Committee in June 2016.
- 1.19 Based on the information provided in this report on the completion of the 2016/17 internal audit plan, it is considered that the requirements of the Charter were met during the year.

2 Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation

- 2.1 To present to Members the annual report for the Internal Audit Consortium in respect of North East Derbyshire District Council for 2016/17.
- 2.2 To ensure compliance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards.
- 2.3 To provide an opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the Council's control environment including any qualifications to that opinion.

3 Consultation and Equality Impact

3.1 Not Applicable.

4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

4.1 Not applicable.

5 **Implications**

5.1 <u>Finance and Risk Implications</u>

This report ensures that Members are aware of the work undertaken by internal audit during 2016/17 and the Internal Audit Consortium Managers opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of the systems in place at North East Derbyshire District Council.

5.2 <u>Legal Implications including Data Protection</u>

None.

5.3 <u>Human Resources Implications</u>

None

6 Recommendation

6.1 That the Internal Audit Consortium Annual Report for 2016/17 be noted.

7 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision? (A Key Decision is one which results in income or expenditure to the Council of £50,000 or more or which has a significant impact on two or more District wards)	No
District Wards Affected	None
Links to Corporate Plan priorities or Policy Framework	The internal audit plan helps to achieve the corporate aim "transforming our organisation" which looks to continually improve the organisation.

8 <u>Document Information</u>

Appendix No	Title				
Appendix 1	Internal Audit Reports issued 201	6/17			
Appendix 2	Internal Audit Consortium External Review action Plan Update				
Background P	apers				
Report Author	rt Author Contact Number				
Jenny Williams 01246 217547 Internal Audit Consortium Manager					

AGIN 4(a) Annual Report

Appendix 1

North East Derbyshire District Council – Internal Audit Reports Issued 2016/17

Ref	Report Title	Overall Opinion 2016/17	Overall Opinion Previous Audit
1	Network Security	Good	Good
2	Eckington Leisure Centre	Good	Satisfactory
3	Discretionary Housing Payments	Satisfactory	N/A
4	Procurement	Marginal	Marginal
5	Cash and Bank	Good	Good
6	Corporate Targets	Good	Good
7	Taxi Licences	Marginal	Satisfactory
8	Street Cleansing	Good	Good
9	VAT	Good	Good
10	Council Tax	Good	Good
11	National Non Domestic Rates	Good	Good
12	Treasury Management	Good	Good
13	Use of Social Media	Marginal	N/A
14	Housing Benefits	Good	Satisfactory
15	Ambition Project	Good	N/A
16	Sundry Debtors	Good	Satisfactory
17	Creditor Payments	Good	Good
18	Budgetary Control	Good	Good
19	Risk Management	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
20	Main Accounting	Good	Good
21	Safeguarding	Satisfactory	N/A
22	Homelessness	Good	Satisfactory
23	Section 106	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
24	Payroll	Good	Good
25	Health and Safety	Marginal	Marginal
26	Transport	Marginal	Good
27	BACS	Good	N/A

Control Level	Definition
Good	A few minor recommendations (if any).
Satisfactory	Minimal risk; a few areas identified where changes would be beneficial.
Marginal	A number of areas have been identified for improvement.
Unsatisfactory	Unacceptable risks identified, changes should be made.
Unsound	Major risks identified; fundamental improvements are required.

Report	External Review of Internal Audit (October 2016).	Update April 2017	
Title:			

			To be Implemented		
Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed	В	By:	Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date	
RESOURCES	The nominated supervisor	Part			
	should ensure and evidence		IAC	Ongoing	Complete – review documentation is
Supervision	that active supervision is		Manager/		completed at the end of an audit with
Supervision of an internal audit	maintained and documented		Senior		any significant issues arising during the
assignment is not always	throughout the assignment		Auditors		audit also being recorded.
evidenced within internal audit	process through recording				
files. A formal file review	involvement and instructions				
document is completed by a	on the review form.				
supervisor following exit					
meetings or production of a draft	A suggested format for				
report, with supervision during an	diarising supervision which				
audit being conducted through	is used within peer providers				
discussion and monthly 121	is attached as Appendix 1				
meetings.					
	File review forms should be	Υ	IAC	Immediate	Complete – forms introduced
	introduced at DDDC as part		Manager		
	of a standard approach.				
COMPETENCY	The Internal Audit Manual	Υ	IAC	August	Not Started - IAC Manager to review
	could be beneficially		Manager	2017	and update audit manual to include
Governance and standards	improved by referring				more detail in respect of specific
The Internal Audit Manual is a	directly to those PSIAS				PSIAS standards.

Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed		plemented By:	Progress as at end April 2017
issus identified	Red, Amber Green	/ igi oou	Officer	Date	1 10g.000 do de ond April 2017
comprehensive document which refers to the PSIAS but does not sufficiently reference the processes that audit staff should follow in conducting assignments to the various standards. We feel that this would help to elevate the understanding and status of internal audit if the key standards within the PSIAS were fully documented within the document.	standards that must be followed and providing detailed advice regarding expectations, particularly in respect of each area.				Internal audit staff all have a copy of the standards however a copy of the PSIAS Standards will be appended in the audit manual
Internal Audit Planning Whilst planning is based upon a risk model as required by the PSIAS, the process largely depends on an assessment devised by internal audit; this shows a financial bias and the use of different definitions of risk impact to those approved within	a) Audit Plans should be constructed to achieve the objectives of the department as set out in the Internal Audit Charter and the audit planning process designed to reflect the same through transparent alignment with the Council wide approach to risk management.	Y	IAC Manager	For 17/18 IA Plan	Complete – Audit plans devised following thorough risk analysis and discussion with client officers. The plan details the key risk element and links to the strategic/corporate risk registers.

			To be Implemented		
Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed	Е	By:	Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date	
the Council risk management strategy; rather than reflecting the wider and accepted risk issues being recognised by the Council. There should be a direct and identified link between the internal audit plan content discussed with Audit Committees	b)The internal audit planning process should further identify other sources of assurance that are available and upon which Councils can place reliance.	Y	IAC Manager	August 2017	with Directors/Heads of Service/ raise at CMT/quarterly Directorate meetings to identify and document other sources of assurance that are available upon which the Council can place reliance. The results of this exercise can then be used to further inform the basis for the internal audit plan.
which aligns with the Council's risk management systems; beneficially reflecting both identified controls and assurances available. The risk based reasoning for inclusion of the assignment in the audit plan should be evident (why is there a need for independent assurance?) and in turn this should drive the preparation of the terms of reference for each assignment as recorded within the Audit Brief.	c) The starting point for the development of the Audit Brief should be a preliminary discussion with management regarding the inherent and residual risks relevant to the audit area under review. It may aid assignment planning if the management objectives for the area under review were also identified. This should result in the formation of a direct link with the Authority's risk register and the key mitigating controls highlighted, thereby aiding	Y	IAC Manager/ Senior Auditors	April 2017	Complete – Audit Brief updated to record potential risks. Preliminary discussion with management identifies risks and mitigation factors.

Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed		plemented By:	Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date]
	the understanding and ability of members of the Audit Committee to contribute to the assurance agenda.				
COMPETENCY	a) Consideration should be given to those areas	Y	IAC Manager	Ongoing	On-going - all audit staff have regular data protection, information security
Training The department has an experienced team of internal audit staff whose training needs are assessed through regular 121 meetings and appraisal and development meetings. Most	within the training matrix which reflect greatest need for routine mandatory training of a professional or technical nature. These may relate to areas such as Data Protection or health				and safeguarding training and undertake corporate training as available/required. Health and safety modules are soon to be added to CBC's learning pool of training modules.
staff have a relevant qualification, although only the IACM and one other member of staff have a recognised CCAB or IIA certification. The team attend routine	and Safety where it is important for all staff to have a firm understanding or specific training relating to internal audit such as risk based internal audit or				Consideration will continue to be given to the provision of other training in relation to technical and professional areas within the confines of the budget available.
meetings of various groups locally and regionally and use is made of dedicated cost effective	reporting.				Consideration will be given to further risk training for the audit team. The Nottinghamshire Audit Group is

Issue Identified	Recommended Action -	Agreed	-	plemented By:	Progress as at end April 2017
issue identinied	Red, Amber Green	Agreed	Officer	Date	1 Togress as at end April 2017
training that is available. The IACM ensures that available budgets are used to best effect. Whilst the IA team have identified technology related issues given the nature of cyber risk it is felt that this is a weakness that should be addressed.	b) There is a need for the Consortium to be able to	Y	IAC Manager	As required	currently investigating the provision of risk management training for staff. On- going A discussion has been held with the Head of IT and it is evident
OOMDETENOY	provide assurance relating to IT risks given the increasing complexity of technology and associated controls. It is therefore essential that appropriate professional training is supported for a member of the team or that the service is acquired externally in order to deliver on the assurance needs of the consortium members.	V		A	that a great deal of assurance can be gained from the external assessment and requirements to meet PSN. The Internal Audit Consortium will continue to review elements of IT during every audit and to conduct specific IT audits. The possibility of utilising external specialist support e.g. DCC or Derby City IT internal auditors will be kept under review.
COMPETENCY	The Consortium should consider the merits of	Y	IAC Manager	April 17	Complete - the audit opinion from 2017/18 will be based on levels of

Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed	To be Implemented By:		Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date]
Control evaluation	moving to expression of the				assurance.
The IAC uses the following	control in environment in the				
gradings for the assessment of	form of:-				
controls included within the	a) The appropriateness				
testing schedule.	of the control				
	environment having				
Good – A few minor	regard to the				
recommendations (if any)	significance of the				
Satisfactory – minimal risk; a	risks involved –				
few changes identified where	adequate/inadequate,				
changes would be beneficial	and				
Marginal – a number of areas	b) Whether the control				
have been identified for	is being consistently				
improvement	applied –				
Unsatisfactory – Unacceptable	effective/ineffective				
risks identified, changes should be made					
Unsound – Major risks identified;					
fundamental improvements are					
required					
required					
Our view would be that this					
represents an overly complex					
structure for expression of an					
opinion on the control					
environment and the nature of					

Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed	To be Implemented By:		Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date]
the issue identified against which a recommendation will be made. Standard practice is for each control to be assessed in terms of its adequacy and effectiveness, with the subsequent recommendation being graded as risk based (see Delivery 3b/c)					
Focus on pre-identified controls Assignments are dominated by previously identified controls emanating from CIPFA control matrices which are then tested to specified testing levels rather than provide focus on significant risk and associated key controls identified and evaluated as part of the documentation process. Benefits would be achieved through increased focus on agreed "local" key controls	Internal audit working papers should focus on major risks to the Council that have been identified and discussed with the auditee. Assignment briefs should therefore reflect assessment of risks as defined within the Councils risk impact definitions and then consider the controls that are required to mitigate that risk within the risk appetite of the Council.	Part	IAC Manager/ Senior Auditors	Ongoing	On-going – test schedules are reviewed before the commencement of each audit to ensure that they are still relevant and concentrate on the key risk areas. The audit brief has been updated to record the key risks identified at the commencement of the audit

Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed	To be Implemented By:		Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date	
relating to the business critical risks and then tested according to the materiality of their contribution to the Council's risk management framework. Whilst the current testing is robust, documented and well evidenced it may not provide assurance relating to the most significant risks to which the service is exposed.	An example risk based Assignment Brief is included as Appendix 2.				

Issue Identified	Recommended Action -	Agreed		plemented By:	Progress as at end April 2017
issue identified	Red, Amber Green	Agreed	Officer	Date	1 Togress as at end April 2017
Methodology and use of walk- through tests For core financial systems, systems documentation exists and is we understand supported by flowcharts, in accordance with para 8.1.1 of the Internal Audit Manual. For other audits whilst it is accepted the system notes exist mostly in the form of notes within the evidence collected, files do not contain an outline of the system as specified in the	a. Auditors should complete at least a system note at the start of each audit in order to outline an overview of the processes being reviewed in order to aid understanding and the structure of the audit and provide an understanding of the system to aid supervision and the efficient conduct of future audits.	Part	All audit staff	April 17	Complete - permanent files are now being set up as each audit is undertaken. Sample documentation and system, notes are already routinely placed on file to evidence the processes in place whilst undertaking sample testing.

Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed	To be Implemented By:		Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date	
internal audit manual as stage 4 of the above and there is therefore a reliance on previously constructed testing schedules to define the scope of the audit. As the risk environment, service provision, staff in post and therefore systems change it is considered important that each audit commences with providing a documented oversight of the component parts of the system in which key controls that are to be relied upon for the purposes of providing an opinion are documented and tested using a walk through test.	b. The internal audit manual should specify the minimum standards requirements for file structure and content for electronic files in order to aid supervision. These may be planning and communication, systems documentation and identified procedures, fieldwork (control summaries supported by testing and evidence) and reporting. (Refers to section 9.3.3 of the internal audit manual)	Y	IAC Manager	August 17	Not started - The structure of the electronic files for each audit review will be developed to ensure a consistency of approach amongst the Consortium members.

la a contida atiti a d	Decembered Action	A a .d	To be Implem		Progress on at and April 2047
Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed		Sy:	Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date	
DELIVERY	a)Audit supervisors should	Υ	IAC	Ongoing	Complete – this is already done as a
	formally agree the grading		Manager/		matter of routine during the file review
Audit Opinions -	of recommendations prior to		Senior		stage.
Recommendations	the conduct of exit		Auditors		
These are currently developed	meetings.				
and assessed by each internal					
auditor, and reviewed by the					
Audit Manager prior to release of					
the draft report (sometimes					
subsequent to discussion of					
findings at an 'exit meeting' at					
which the grading of					
recommendations may have					
been discussed). This system					
relies on personal judgement					

Issue Identified	Recommended Action -	To be Implemented			Dragrage on at and April 2017
issue identified	Red, Amber Green	Agreed	Officer	By: Date	Progress as at end April 2017
related to 'Priority' for which no definition exists to articulate the meaning of High, Medium or Low. The definitions used by internal audit to support opinions therefore lack clarity and should be more closely linked with each Authority's risk appetite and the definitions of impact risk being used to embed risk management thinking within the organisation. The basis for grading of recommendations should as a result influence the overall	b)Risk definitions used by internal audit should be developed to reflect the risk appetite within each organisation, and the definitions of impact and likelihood used by the Council. These should be used by each internal auditor to grade the recommendation and discuss the level of risk to which the organisation is exposed with each auditee at the exit meeting	Y	IAC Manager	April 17	Complete - Definitions have been developed for High, Medium and Low internal audit recommendations linked to risk. This will aid in reducing subjectivity and increase consistency.

Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed	To be Implemented By:		Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date	
opinion for each audit directly, for example if a risk falling into a definition of the highest category is identified (potential for death, loss greater than £500k) then the assurance level given is reduced. Any risk of this nature should automatically trigger a negative audit opinion of 'limited assurance'.	c) Consideration should be given to removing the need to include 'low' rated recommendations in formal audit reports; alternatively reflecting on these in a side letter to the manager. This would aid the profile of internal audit through concentrating on things that really matter in relation to significant risk as defined within risk management policies.	N	Officer	Date	This approach would lead to the risk that low priority recommendations are not even considered by managers. Managers can already disagree recommendations if they feel the risk is too low given the resource available etc. It is up to managers to set the risk appetite of the Council.

			To be Implemented		
Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed	В	y:	Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date	
DELIVERY	a) The grading of	Part	IAC	April 17	Complete - Definitions have been
	recommendations should be		Manager		developed for the use of High, Medium
Audit Opinions - Overall	based upon the level of risk				and Low when grading
opinions	exposure identified within				recommendations. This will help to
These are currently based upon	the review and reflect the				ensure consistency based on levels of
the personal judgement of each	highest ranked				risk.
auditor, within the definitions	recommendation being				
specified as relating and subject	reported upon.				
to review by the supervisor and	Best practice would reflect:				
IACM of the draft report prior to	- Where a fundamental risk				
release.	(red) is identified that				
The overall opinion also appears	no/limited assurance is				
to be loosely based on the	given.				
aggregate number of	 Where significant risks 				
recommendations made and not	(amber) are identified then				
the level of risk identified. The	adequate assurance is				
current is for the opinion to reflect	given, and				
the reliability of the internal	- Where 'merits attention'				
controls operating in the system /	(green) risks are identified				
area reviewed was assessed as	these are not referred to in				
good* / satisfactory* / marginal* /	the report and substantial				
unsatisfactory* / unsound*.	assurance is given				

Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed	•	plemented By:	Progress as at end April 2017
issue identinied	Red, Amber Green	Agreed	Officer	Date	1 Togress as at end April 2017
Wider best practice provides for three levels of opinion being substantial, adequate or limited as this provides a clearer indication to stakeholders of the level of assurance that can be gained. This opinion can then be aligned directly with the nature of the risks being identified and the grading of those recommendations being made.	b) Reducing the levels of opinion to three would provide a clearer indication of the assurance being provided and represent a more straight-forward approach for internal audit staff to administer.	Part	IAC Manager	April 17	Complete – The levels of opinion have been considered by the Internal Audit Consortium Manger, Client Officers and each Audit Committee and reduced to four. This will be implemented from 2017/18.
Report format The Consortium currently provides a detailed report which is then summarised appropriately to inform other meetings within the Council at Officer and	The Consortium should consider whether focusing on risk as a basis for reporting would allow movement towards an 'executive summary' approach which highlights only significant risks.	N			Managers have not liked this approach in the past as reports were seen as focusing purely on the negative. Current feedback from customer satisfaction surveys on the current reporting style is positive.
Member levels. It would not be appropriate to	This may help further build the profile of internal audit				Where a marginal or worse conclusion is reached the main issues / risks will

Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed	To be Implemented By:		Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date]
comment negatively on this approach particularly as positive feedback regarding internal audit performance can be seen in the return of satisfaction surveys during 2016/17 and was gained in meetings with officers as part of the EQA. However, internal audit reports are 'lengthy' and in developing an increasingly risk based approach consideration could be given to moving to an exceptions based executive summary highlighting significant risks.	and allow greater efficiency within the team through reducing the time consumed in report production and clearance.				be summarised in a paragraph under the conclusion. The majority of reports are already short.
Auditee feedback At the time of the review feedback questionnaires had been received in respect of 24 audits undertaken during 2016/17, all received scores in excess of 80% with the only	The IACM should continue to monitor feedback as it moves towards an increasingly risk focused so that as changes are made to internal audit practices; these can be aligned with improvements in the way internal audit value is	Y	IAC Manager	March 18	On-going - All customer satisfaction surveys are reviewed with a view to taking on board any learning points. Surveys are also used as a discussion point with Auditors at EPD's and 1:1's As the Consortium further develops risk based auditing the customer
areas showing as requiring	perceived.				satisfaction survey will be reviewed to

Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed		plemented By:	Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date]
improvement relating to:- - Were recommendations practical and useful, and - Sufficient to remedy weaknesses identified in the report					ensure that it is still collecting relevant feedback.
DELIVERY	In alignment with recommendations made	Y	IAC Manager	2016/17 audit	In progress – the 2016/17 internal audit opinion is in the process of being
Annual Report	earlier the internal audit plan		g	opinion	drafted. The internal audit work during
The IACM produces an Annual	should be constructed so			· ·	the year is used as the basis upon
Audit report which summarises	that the IACM is able to				which to formulate the annual audit
the years' work and includes	provide a wider assurance				opinion. The audit plan is risk based
analysis of performance. The	to each Authority in support				and devised to cover a broad range of
opinion reflects 'In respect of the	of the governance				the Council's activities and functions.
main financial systems, Appendix	statement.				This enables the IAC Manager to
1 shows that internal controls	Best practice is that the				produce an opinion on the control
were found to be operating	Annual Report should also				environment as a whole.
satisfactorily or well, giving an	contain reference to all				
overall confidence in the internal	significant risks and				However, the annual internal audit
control system operating in	therefore co-ordination with				opinion will be developed to take in to
relation to these systems'.	and an understanding of				account other significant risks that may
The form required by the PSIAS	issues being raised the				not have been covered by the audit
requires a wider statement which	range of assurances				plan in a particular year. The Annual
'must also include significant risk	available is essential in				Governance Statement and strategic
exposures and control issues,	order to meet this broader				risk register will be utilised to do this.

Issue Identified	Recommended Action – Red, Amber Green	Agreed	To be Implemented By:		Progress as at end April 2017
			Officer	Date] .
including fraud risks, governance issues, and other matters needed or requested by senior management and the board'.	In this way the Annual report can be used to support the Council's Governance Statement.				
Reports produced by the IACM It is considered good practice that the IACM is involved in conducting assignments particularly in relation to high risk areas but in such circumstances appropriate arrangements should be made for 'supervision' and clearance of reports.	In circumstances where the IACM undertakes a review personally arrangements should be made for a second person review of the file.	Y	Senior Auditors	Immediate	Complete – A senior Auditor now reviews any audits undertaken by the IACM
DELIVERY Derbyshire Dales DC Whilst it is recognised that arrangements for this Council are outside of the core Consortium arrangements. It would be beneficial for the established	Standardised procedures should be implemented regarding: - The use of Audit Briefs, - Working paper review, and - The approach to IT	Y	IAC Manager and Senior Auditor	Immediate	Complete – standardised procedures are in use

Issue Identified	Recommended Action –	Agreed	To be Implemented By:		Progress as at end April 2017
	Red, Amber Green		Officer	Date	
internal audit processes contained within the Internal Audit Manual to be applied as this will aid consistency of approach, training and supervision.	audit				